



# Guidance for EWTs and HFPs

## Onshore Extended Well Tests (EWT)

OGA may authorise extended periods of test production (extended well tests – EWT) from exploration or appraisal wells prior to the approval of a Field Development Plan if it can be demonstrated the licensee will thereby gain technical understanding or confidence in the performance of the field needed to progress towards a development.

**If hydraulic stimulation is proposed as part of the EWT, a hydraulic fracture plan (HFP) also must be agreed with the Oil & Gas Authority in consultation with the Environment Agency and Health and Safety Executive.**

The EWT should have realistic and definable appraisal objectives essential to the success of a development, and not be prejudicial to ultimate recovery. A plan of operations and explanation of why the intended completion and testing techniques are appropriate to test each stratigraphic level is required. There are no strict criteria governing the maximum volume to be produced or the duration of an EWT, but they are usually issued for 90 days to allow for operational delays. Duration may be extended if there is technical justification, but EWTs are not an alternative to production under an approved Field Development Plan.

EWT consent requires a formal letter of application containing the following information:

- a) The Relevant Works which the Licensee proposes to erect or carry out during that period. “Relevant Works” is defined as: “any structures and any other works whatsoever which are intended by the Licensee to be permanent and are neither designed to be moved from place to place without major dismantling nor intended by the Licensee to be used only for searching for Petroleum”.
- b) The proposed location of the Relevant Works (pad area coordinates shown on a plat that forms part of the Mining Waste permit), a detailed plan of activity, objectives of the test and the requested duration.
- c) Maximum quantities of oil and/or gas to be produced and saved or flared/vented in the period of the requested EWT (in tonnes and cubic metres).
- d) Proof of planning consent.

OGA will check with the appropriate environmental regulator that the required environmental permits are in place and that the Health and Safety Executive have reviewed the plans before consenting to the proposed EWT.

Following an EWT, there is no obligation to proceed with a Field Development Plan, but if a significant amount of oil and/or gas is to be saved during the EWT, a field determination may be required.

Throughout the test the operator must keep OGA informed of activity and must report monthly oil, gas and water production figures in the Oil and Gas Portal. Within 30 days of completion of the EWT, the Operator must submit to OGA an EWT report fully describing the test results.

<https://www.ogauthority.co.uk/exploration-production/onshore/>



## Hydraulic Fracture Plan (HFP)

If hydraulic stimulation is proposed as part of the EWT, a hydraulic fracture plan (HFP) also must be agreed with OGA in consultation with EA and HSE.

A summary of what OGA may require is as follows, but less information may be required for a small volume hydraulic stimulation of a conventional target.

- a map and seismic lines showing faults near the well and along the well path, with a summary assessment of faulting and formation stresses in the area and the risk that the operations could reactivate existing faults
- information on the local background seismicity and assessment of the risk of induced seismicity
- a comparison of proposed activity to any previous operations and relationship to historical seismicity
- summary of the planned operations, including the techniques to be used, the location of monitoring points, stages, pumping pressures, volumes and the predicted extent of each proposed fracturing event
- proposed measures to mitigate the risk of inducing an earthquake and a description of decision tree for a real-time traffic light scheme for monitoring local seismicity
- the processes and procedures that will be put in place during hydraulic fracturing for fracture height monitoring to identify where the fractures are within the target formation and ensure that they are not near the permitted boundary
- in the event that the fractures extend beyond the EA permit boundary, the steps that would be taken to assess and if necessary mitigate the effect and limit further propagation outside the target rocks
- the type and duration of monitoring and reporting during and/or after hydraulic fracturing has taken place and the geologic data to be published
- Procedures for post fracturing reporting of the location, orientation and extent of the induced fractures to demonstrate that the EA permit has been complied with. This will need to include provision for reporting on proposed mitigation measures to prevent propagation should fractures extend to within a short distance of the permitted boundary
- proposed level of seismic event above which fracturing cannot resume without consent after evidence is provided that the wells are not damaged and the groundwater remains protected

A hydraulic fracture plan is only part of the regulatory consent process. Further information can be found about the Hydraulic Fracturing Regulatory Process on the OGA website.



## Examples of when a HFP may be required

Test Type Examples	LEAK OFF TEST (Pressure Integrity Test)	MINI FALL OFF TEST (DFIT)	CONVENTIONAL COMPLETION ACID WASH	CONVENTIONAL HYDRAULIC FRACTURE STIMULATION	UNCONVENTIONAL HIGH VOLUME HYDRAULIC FRACTURE STIMULATION
Purpose	Drilling Engineering Evaluation	Reservoir / Rock Engineering Evaluation	Commercial Production Evaluation	Commercial Production Evaluation	Commercial Production Evaluation
Pressure	< Frac Gradient	= Frac Gradient	< Frac Gradient	> Frac Gradient Conventional Reservoir	> Frac Gradient Unconventional Reservoir
Typical Fluid	Drilling Fluid / Mud	KCL Water < 20 m <sup>3</sup>	Dilute HCL < 15 m <sup>3</sup>	Frac Fluid 15 - 150 m <sup>3</sup>	Frac Fluid > 1000 m <sup>3</sup>
Typical Proppant	-----	-----	-----	20/40 sand 5 - 40 tonne	20/40 sand 20 - 150 tonne
Typical Chemicals	-----	-----	HCL 15%	Gel Surfactant Breaker Biocide	Gel Surfactant Breaker Biocide
Flow Back Fluids	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES
HFP Needed?				✓	✓

Please send request for EWT and HFP consents to [toni.harvey@ogauthority.co.uk](mailto:toni.harvey@ogauthority.co.uk) or [mark.quint@ogauthority.co.uk](mailto:mark.quint@ogauthority.co.uk)